

**To:** Owens, Kim[Owens.Kim@epa.gov]; Cope, Ben[Cope.Ben@epa.gov];  
croxton.dave@epa.gov[croxton.dave@epa.gov]  
**From:** Rueda, Helen  
**Sent:** Mon 5/20/2013 4:01:19 PM  
**Subject:** Pend Oreille HQ draft papers  
may 16 2013 draft[2]HR comments.docx  
may 20 2013 appx 1[1]HR comments.docx

Here are my comments on the draft options papers from HQ for Ecology. In general I find them overly long and not to the point as I remember it from the meeting, but I'm not sure I want to try and fight that one out with them.

There is one section that I think needs to go and I have a comment to that effect. It is HQ options section which is an extremely optimistic time line talking about options Ecology already knows about, having discussed them in the meeting (take the TMDL back to revise or let EPA disapprove and reissue) and adding in info on meetings with the Tribe. Feel free to edit my comment back to HQ on that one it could be worded better.

I would like to send the final papers to Ecology early this week so they can be prepared for the meeting on the 29th, so we need to communicate our comments back to HQ soon, preferably today.

I am working from home to day and my number here is Ex. 6 - Personal Privacy